

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,733	FUKATANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1794	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>D. S. Nakarani</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Mr. Travis B. Ribar, Attorney</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>2 July 2008</u>	Time: <u>about 2:00 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: <i>3, 41 and 72</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Ribar agreed to cancel claims 3 and 72 and amend claim 41 to avoid rejection of claims 3 and 41 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and to cancel claim 72 to overcome art rejection.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/D. S. Nakarani/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			